

Incentive programmes – a necessary good

Arne Karlsson

15 March 2012

Incentive programmes – a necessary good (1)

- Incentive system difficult and complex
 - but potential dynamite!
 - Messi syndrome...
 - ...does not mean, however, that it can't be done
 - Requires special efforts, resources, continuous development

Incentive programmes – a necessary good (2)

Ratos vs. incentives

- two major, internal main projects
- continuous development
- we belong to the elite in this area...
- ...with 3.5 points on a 5-point scale

Internal project (1)

Foundation

- alternative systems
- taxes
- countries
- combinations
- psychology
- tailor-made from a smorgasbord

Internal project (2)

IPIP (Incentive Programmes In Practice)

- philosophical/fundamental corner stones
- real-world examples
- benchmarks/best practice
- internal structural capital project
- presentation/information exchange/individual development

Why incentive programmes?

- Debate wrongly focused
 - misses the point!
- Level 3: Must we pay so much?
 - YES (if you want Messi)
- Level 2: Are people driven by money?
 YES
- Level 1: How to use incentive programmes to influence people's behaviour!?

Influencing behaviour requires a structured effort

Tie together understanding of the whole

- operational plan
- Ratos's business model
- 20% IRR preferred!
- incentive structure
- whole and parts

IRR = 26% - how?



Value drivers



5 years	EV +7% per year
	E +20% per year

Profit development

- Growth (organic and acquisitions)
- Improved margins

Cash flows & leverage

- Capital efficiency
- Investments, tax
- Capital structure
- Exit multiples

- Profile
- Strategic position
- Growth potential
- etc

"Profit improvement tools" (example)

Sales growth

- Price
 - Pricing strategy
 - Discount system
 - Packaging services/goods
- Volume "existing market"
 - Sales strategy / focus
 - Brand strategy
 - Loyalty initiatives
- Volume "new markets"
 - New geographies
 - New products
 - New channels
 - New customer segments

Operating margin improvements

- Purchasing
 - Consolidate purchase volumes
 - Renegotiations
 - Screening new suppliers
- Production rationalisation
 - Profitability analysis (ABC)
 - Make or Buy
 - Factory consolidation
- Overhead savings
 - Outsourcing
 - Shared services
 - R&D portfolio management
 - Process rationalisation

Basic example

	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Sales	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	
EBITA	50	50	50	50	
EBITA%	5,0%	5,0%	5,0%	5,0%	
Working capital, BB	150	150	150	150	
Net cash, BB	0	50	100	150	
Cash flow for the year	50	50	50	50	
Net cash, EB	50	100	150	200	
	Acquisition 2012		Exit end of 2015		
Acquisition multiple	7		7		
x EBITA profit	50		50		
Price debt free company (EV)	350		350		
- Present net debt	0		200		
Price for the shares (Equity)	350		550		
			<u> </u>		
	IRR: 12%				

Real-world examples

Company 1

- tied-up working capital
- Company 2
 - pricing issues in production-focused company
- Company 3
 - investments in capital-intensive, capacity-focused sector

Overall conclusion/recommendation

Framework for this project

Establishment of well thought out and well balanced incentive programme

- Incentive structure
- Leverage and return profile
- Scope (number of people)
- Scope (investment)

Basic understanding among incentive participants with regard to company valuation and value drivers

- Overall understanding for company valuation
 - Company value (EV) vs. share value
 - Valuation method(s)
- Detailed review of Ratos's approach to value development and value drivers (IRR 20%)

Annual communication relating to value development and value drivers historically and going forward

- Annual communication between Ratos and incentive participants
 - Assessed value development from opening value to current value – preferably with a breakdown by each value driver
 - Targets for value development in going forward – preferably with a breakdown by each value driver
- Ensure clear feedback between development/future and Ratos's approach to value development (IRR 20%)

Operational effect from incentive programmes requires a **firm foundation** and **recurrent communication** with incentive participants